LEWINGTON, Jana

From:

JOHNSTON, Mathew

Sent:

Wednesday, 17 October 2012 7:12 PM

To:

STRAPP, Eliza; CANTWELL, Kai; HEFREN-WEBB, Elizabeth; PURDY, Lara; ANYON,

Bridget; HUME, Emily

Subject:

APY Land take-up figures [DLM=For-Official-Use-Only]

Security Classification:

For Official Use Only

Hi everyone!

Eliza/Kai, do you have any latest figures for take-up of IM in the APY Lands, I know last week it was about 81, just wondering if there has been an increase?

As a bit of context for why I am asking, in our meeting yesterday afternoon here in Warburton we met the safe and safe and safe and safe apper prepared by safe and safe are in which (and I only got a glance at the paper) safe are it to the measures of IM introduced in the APY Lands and also vaguely suggests that they aren't suitable/most effective, again I didn't get to read in detail — I hope to try and obtain a copy from the safe if not safe himself. safe irrelevant information

Consultations are going well, people (both community and stakeholders like police, DCP etc) are on the whole positive and keen for IM to be rolled out here, and people are also sharing quite candid stories! Financial harassment and people spending all their money and running out of food are common (so too gambling and drug use). Yesterday, we saw^{s47F} at the community store who bought a lockable tool box and when saked why replied it was for safe to lock safe food up to protect from family taking safe food.

I am compiling notes from past couple of days and will send through this evening – the connection out here is a bit fuzzy at times and drops in and out.

Thanks! Mat